



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 10/027,677  
Filing Date: December 20, 2001  
Applicant: Roger G. Etter  
Entitled: PRODUCTION AND USE OF A PREMIUM FUEL GRADE  
PETROLEUM COKE  
Docket No.: ENV 1298-002J

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)

Date of Deposit December 6, 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Kim B. Powers  
Kim B. Powers

Sir:

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE**  
**STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98**

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05 (b), Applicant(s) submits herewith certain patent references, publications and/or other information which the Patent and Trademark Office may wish to consider in examining the captioned patent application. The references and information are listed below and on attached form PTO-1449.

12/10/2004 RMEBRAFT 00000004 10027677 180.00 OP  
01 FC:1806

application. The copies being submitted with this Information Disclosure Statement are the best copies available at this time.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.

Applicant(s) respectfully requests that the documents cited herein be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/6/04

By: Jeffrey C. Norris

Jeffrey C. Norris

Registration No.: 42,039

Standley Law Group LLP

495 Metro Place South, Suite 210

Dublin, Ohio 43017-5315

Telephone: (614) 792-5555

Facsimile: (614) 792-5536

### **U.S. PATENTS**

| <b>Patent Number</b> | <b>Inventor</b>   |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| 2,292,755            | Hackley, Jr.      |
| 3,382,084            | Folkins et al.    |
| 3,684,697            | Gamson            |
| 3,842,165            | Grindstaff et al. |
| 4,202,868            | Hayashi et al.    |
| 4,291,008            | Hsu et al.        |
| 4,326,853            | Sze et al.        |
| 4,369,171            | Grindstaff et al. |
| 4,406,872            | Kapner et al.     |
| 4,534,951            | Kortovich et al.  |
| 5,259,864            | Greenwalt         |
| 5,954,949            | Ohsol et al.      |
| 6,024,863            | LeCours et al.    |
| 6,056,882            | Scalliet          |
| 6,168,709            | Etter             |

### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Patent No.</b> | <b>Inventor(s)</b> |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Germany        | DE 19540780 A1    | Kapala et al.      |

### **OTHER DOCUMENTS**

1. None.

A copy of each non U.S. patent reference is included for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office with ample opportunity to evaluate the same and arrive at an independent assessment of the materiality of each, if any, to the examination of the captioned application.

In reviewing the enclosed copies of the above documents, the Examiner is instructed to ignore any underscoring or highlighting which may have been done because such markings may or may not have any relationship to the subject matter of the captioned



**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH  
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

U.S. Department of Commerce    Atty. Docket No. ENV1298-002J Serial No. 10/027,677  
Patent and Trademark Office

INFORMATION  
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
BY APPLICANTS

Roger G. Etter  
Applicant

December 20, 2001  
Filing Date

1764  
Group Art Unit

NGUYEN, TAM M  
Examiner's name

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

| Examiner's Initial | Document Number | Date  | Name              | Class/Sub-class |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                    | 2,922,755       | 1/60  | Hackley, Jr.      | 208/39          |
|                    | 3,382,084       | 5/68  | Folkin et al.     | 106/284         |
|                    | 3,684,697       | 8/72  | Gamson            | 208/131         |
|                    | 3,842,165       | 10/74 | Grindstaff et al. | 423/448         |
|                    | 4,202,868       | 5/80  | Hayashi et al.    | 252/510         |
|                    | 4,291,008       | 9/81  | Hsu et al.        | 423/461         |
|                    | 4,326,853       | 4/92  | Sze et al.        | 44/1 F          |
|                    | 4,369,171       | 1/93  | Grindstaff et al. | 423/461         |
|                    | 4,406,872       | 9/83  | Kapner et al.     | 423/461         |
|                    | 4,534,951       | 8/85  | Kortovich et al.  | 423/448         |
|                    | 5,259,864       | 11/93 | Greenwalt         | 75/445          |
|                    | 5,954,949       | 9/99  | Ohsol et al.      | 208/131         |
|                    | 6,024,863       | 2/00  | LeCours et al.    | 208/131         |
|                    | 6,056,882       | 5/00  | Scalliet          | 210/708         |
|                    | 6,168,709       | 1/01  | Etter             | 208/131         |

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

| Examiner's Initial | Document Number | Date | Country/Name | Translation?<br>yes/no |
|--------------------|-----------------|------|--------------|------------------------|
|                    | DE 19540780 A1  | 5/96 | Germany      |                        |

---

### OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. None.

| Examiner | Date Considered |
|----------|-----------------|
|----------|-----------------|

---

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.